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## Outline of the Presentation

- Status of fuel cell truck demonstrations
- The Fuel cell truck drivelines
- Hydrogen fuel consumption
- Compressed gas hydrogen storage on the trucks
- Cryo-compressed hydrogen storage on the trucks
- Hydrogen refueling stations along highways
- Technical and cost challenges


## Fuel cell truck demonstrations

- Drayage trucks at ports (ex. TransPower)
- Refuse trucks
- Tyrano (Vison Motor Corp.)
- Nikola One (Nikola Motor Corp.)
- Project Portal ( Toyota)


## Vision's Tyrano



Source: Vision Motor Corp., 2012.


## Nikola One



Nikola One Drivetrain Arrangement (Source: nikolamotor.com)
(1) front radiator assembly; (2) front motor gearbox; (3) power electronics; (4) battery storage system;
(5) chiller and air tanks; (6) fuel cell; (7) hydrogen fuel system; (8) rear motor gearbox; (9) 5th wheel

## Hydrogen Fuel Cell Electric Trucks

Specification \& performance comparison of Tyrano and Nikola One

| Fuel Cell Trucks | Tyrano | Nikola One |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Motor | 320 kW | 2 motors with power up to 1000 hp |
| Fuel Cell | 65 kW | 300 kW |
| Battery | 130 kWh | 320 kWh |
| Hydrogen Fuel | 20 kg Compressed hydrogen at 350 bar | Not available (estimated 100 kg ) in compressed or liquid hydrogen form |
| Refuel Time | 10-15 min. at 430 bar | 15 minutes (Nikola Stations) |
| Charging Port | Level 2 | DC Fast |
| Range | 200 miles | 800-1,200 miles |
| Weight | Not available | 2,000 lbs lighter than a diesel truck |
| Application | Class 8 short haul semi day cab | Class 8 long haul semi sleeper cab |
| DAVIS |  |  |

## Toyota fuel cell truck Project Portal Port of LA/LB



Two Mira fuel cells ( 230 kW ), 12 kWh battery, 300 kW motor (est.), 200 mile range fully loaded, 25 kg H 2 (est.)

## Inputs for the simulations

Class 8 Truck Inputs (33,000-80,000 lbs)

| Component | Model Character istics |
| :--- | :--- |
| Aero Drag Coefficient (Cd) | 0.6 |
| Frontal Area (A: m2) | 10 |
| Tire Rolling Resistance (eta) | 0.0065 |
| Curb Weight Including Empty Trailer (kg) | 15,700 |
| Gross Vehicle Weight Rating (kg) | $25,400 \mathrm{~kg} *$ |
| Transmission 10 Speed efficiency | $98 \%$ |
| Axle Efficiency | $98 \%$ |
| Electrical Accessories | 4 kW |
| Motor Efficiency | $94 \%$ |
| Inverter Efficiency | $99 \%$ |
| Average mileages | $500 \mathrm{miles} /$ day |
|  | 90,000 miles/year |
| * 70\% of the rated load of $36,280 \mathrm{~kg}$ |  |

## Hydrogen consumption of long haul fuel cell trucks

## Long haul (highway) trucks

2030

| Long haul* <br> Driving cycles | mi/gal gasoline equiv. | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{kgH}_{2}{ }^{* *}$ | $\mathrm{kgH}_{2}$ for 100 miles | $\mathrm{kgH}_{2}$ for 300 miles | $\mathrm{kgH}_{2}$ for 500 miles |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GEM65 | 8.9 | 8.5 | 13.07 | 39 | 65 |
| GEM55 | 9.4 | 9.0 | 12.35 | 37 | 62 |
| HHDT-CR | 9.9 | 9.45 | 11.76 | 35 | 59 |
| 65 mph const | 8.8 | 8.4 | 13.23 | 40 | 66 |

${ }^{*} C_{D}=.55, A_{F}=9.5, \mathrm{wt} .=29500 \mathrm{~kg}, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{r}}=.0055,1.5 \mathrm{~kW}$ access. load
2050

| Long haul * | mi/gal <br> gasoline <br> equiv. | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{kgH}_{2} * *$ | $\mathrm{kgH}_{2}$ for <br> 100 miles | $\mathrm{kgH}_{2}$ for <br> 300 miles | $\mathrm{kgH}_{2}$ for <br> 500 miles |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Driving cycles | 9.2 | 8.78 | 12.66 | 38 | 63 |
| GEM65 | 10.1 | 9.64 | 10.37 | 31 | 52 |
| GEM55 | 10.9 | 10.41 | 10.67 | 32 | 53 |
| HHDT-CR | 9.3 | 8.8 | 11.36 | 34 | 57 |
| 65mph const | 2 |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{*} C_{D}=.45, A_{F}=9.5, \mathrm{wt} .=29000 \mathrm{~kg}, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{r}}=.005,1.5 \mathrm{~kW}$ access. load

## Comparisons of energy use with FC and other drivelines

Highway driving at 65 mph
Long haul truck

| powertrain | $\mathrm{mi} /$ galD | Ratio |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Diesel | 8.2 | 1.0 |
| H2FC | 9.9 | 1.21 |
|  |  |  |

Intercity bus

| powertrain | mi/galD | Ratio |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Diesel | 10.1 | 1.0 |
| H2FC | 16.9 | 1.7 |
| EV | 26.1 | 2.6 |
|  |  |  |

HD pickup truck

| powertrain | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{galD}$ | Ratio |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Diesel | 23.5 | 1.0 |
| Hybrid diesel | 31 | 1.3 |
| H2FC | 38.7 | 1.7 |
| EV | 82.7 | 3.5 |
|  |  |  |

## Hydrogen storage on-board the truck

- Compressed gas 5-10 kpsi
- Liquid (23 deg K, 4 atm.)
- Cryo-compressed ( $\mathbf{3 8} \mathbf{~ d e g ~ K , ~} 300 \mathrm{~atm}$.)



## CRYO-COMPRESSED HYDROGEN STORAGE. SYSTEM LAYOUT - BMW PROTOTYPE 2011.



## BMW CRYO-COMPRESSED HYDROGEN STORAGE. STORAGE SYSTEM VOLUME COMPARISON.



## BMW CRYO-COMPRESSED HYDROGEN STORAGE. STORAGE SYSTEM WEIGHT COMPARISON.



## Hydrogen storage technology comparisons (DOE)

Current status of hydrogen storage technologies [Stetson, 2016]

| Storage Targets | Gravimetric kWh/kg ( $\mathrm{kg} \mathrm{H}_{2} / \mathrm{kg}$ system) | Volumetric kWh/L ( $\mathrm{kg} \mathrm{H}_{2} / \mathrm{L}$ system) | Costs <br> \$/kWh <br> $\left(\$ / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2020 | $\begin{gathered} 1.8 \\ (0.055) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.3 \\ (0.040) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 10 \\ (\$ 333) \end{gathered}$ |
| Ultimate | $\begin{gathered} 2.5 \\ (0.075) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.3 \\ (0.070) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 8 \\ (\$ 266) \end{gathered}$ |
| Projected $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ Storage System Performance ( 5.6 kg H H usable) | Gravimetric kWh/kg ( $\mathrm{kg} \mathrm{H} / 2 / \mathrm{kg}$ system) | ```Volumetric kWh/L (kg H2/L system)``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Costs* } \\ & \$ / \mathrm{kWh}^{2} \\ & \left(\$ / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| 700 bar compressed (Type IV, Single Tank) | $\begin{gathered} 1.4 \\ (0.044) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.8 \\ (0.024) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 15 \\ (\$ 500) \end{gathered}$ |
| Metal Hydride ( $\mathrm{NaAlH}_{4} / \mathrm{Ti}$ ) | $\begin{gathered} 0.4 \\ (0.012) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.4 \\ (0.012) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 43 \\ (\$ 1,432) \end{gathered}$ |
| Sorbent (MOF-5, 100 bar, MATI, LN2 cooling) | $\begin{gathered} 1.3 \\ (0.04) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.7 \\ (0.020) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 16 \\ (\$ 533) \end{gathered}$ |
| Chemical Hydrogen Storage (AB-50 wt.\%) | $\begin{gathered} 1.4 \\ (0.043) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.3 \\ (0.040) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 17 \\ (\$ 566) \end{gathered}$ |

* projected at 500,000 units per year (light-duty vehicles)


## Comparisons of the various hydrogen storage technologies with the DOE goals

| Storage of 25kgH2 useable | Compressed gas (350 atm.) | Cryo-compressed BMW) | DOE goals |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Weight (kg) | 500 | $250^{* *}$ |  |  |
| Volume (L) | 988 | $607^{* *}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2020 ultimate |  |
| kgH2/kg syst. | $.050(.044)^{*}$ | .100 | .055 |  |
| KgH2/L syst. | $.025(.024)^{*}$ | .041 | .04 |  |
|  | .07 |  |  |  |

*present status from DOE
** 3400 Wh/kg, 1400 WH/I

## Vehicle Component Cost (2025)

| Fuel_Cell_Cost | 80 | $\$ / \mathrm{kW}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| H2_Storage_Cost | 500 | $\$ / \mathrm{kgH2}$ |
| Battery_Cost | 300 | $\$ / \mathrm{kWh}$ |
| Motor_Cost | 15 | $\$ / \mathrm{kW}$ |
| Motor_Ctrl_Cost | 10 | $\$ / \mathrm{kW}$ |
| WPT_Receiver_Cost | 25 | $\$ / \mathrm{kW}$ |

Projected Truck Cost for 2025

| Long-Haul Trucking Technology | Conv. <br> Diesel | H2 Fuel <br> Cell | Catenary <br> Electric | Dynamic <br> Charging |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Glider | $\$ 95,539$ | $\$ 95,539$ | $\$ 95,539$ | $\$ 95,539$ |
| Engine | $\$ 21,881$ | ----- | ----- | ----- |
| Aftertreatment | $\$ 15,750$ | ----- | ----- | ----- |
| Transmission | $\$ 8,549$ | $\$ 2,000$ | $\$ 2,000$ | $\$ 2,000$ |
| Fuel cell | ----- | $\$ 24,000$ | ----- | ----- |
| Hydrogen storage | ----- | $\$ 36,000$ | ----- | ----- |
| Battery | ----- | $\$ 15,000$ | $\$ 30,000$ | $\$ 30,000$ |
| Active pantograph \& converter | ----- | ----- | $\$ 6,500$ |  |
| wireless charge receiver | ----- | ----- | ----- | $\$ 8,000$ |
| Motor and controller | ----- | $\$ 8,750$ | $\$ 8,750$ | $\$ 8,750$ |
| Truck Cost | $\mathbf{\$ 1 4 1 , 7 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 8 1 , 2 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 4 2 , 7 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 4 4 , 2 8 9}$ |



Infrastructure Cost for a 500-Mile Zero-Emission Highway Section

| Long-Haul Highway Trucking Technology Scenarios | Conv. <br> Diesel <br> Truck | Hydrogen Highway | Catenary Electric Highway | Dynamic <br> Inductive <br> Charge Highway |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Diesel station capital cost (\$) | 0 | ----- | ----- | ----- |
| Traction power distribution system |  |  |  |  |
| Catenary system (\$/route mile) | ----- | ----- | 4,600,000 | ----- |
| Dynamic wireless charger (\$/route mile) | ----- | ----- | ----- | 6,400,000 |
| Hydrogen refueling stations (based on $\$ 21.8 \mathrm{M} /$ sta. for a 3000kgH2/da. station) |  | 672,530,000 * |  |  |
| Daily fuel/electricity demand (DGE) | 98,924 | 75,908 | 157,083 | 181,107 |
| Daily h2 demand (kg) \$4/kg H2 |  | 370, 200 |  |  |
| Daily electricity demand (kWh) |  |  | 6,377,551 | 7,352,941 |
| Total Electric power demand (kW) |  |  | 261,643 | 301,659 |
| Substation power rating (kW) |  |  | 20,931 | 24,133 |
| No. of Fuel Stations/Electrified Zones | 10 | 10 | 13 | 13 |
| Daily Station Diesel Supply (gallon/station) | 9,892 |  |  |  |
| Daily Station H2 Supply (kg/station) |  | 9,255 |  |  |
| Electric Power Demand (kW/electrified zone) |  |  | 20,931 | 24,133 |
| Infrastructure Cost (500 route miles) | \$0 | \$673,661,680 | \$1,150,000,000 | \$1,600,000,000 |

*assumed base cost $\$ 20 \mathrm{M}$ for a $3000 \mathrm{kgH} 2 /$ day station

## Technical and cost challenges

## Fuel cell

- Reduce cost ( $\$ / \mathrm{kW}$ )
- Increase durability at high loads to at least $20,000 \mathrm{hr}$.

Hydrogen storage on board truck

- Increase $\mathrm{kgH} 2 / \mathrm{L}$ to at least .05
- Increase cycle life to at least 3000 (full to empty)
- Reduce cost to at least $\$ 300 / \mathrm{kgH} 2$


## Long haul truck

- Integration of electric drive, hydrogen storage, and power battery into the tractor/cab
- Reduce the cost of the fuel cell truck to be comparable to the diesel truck of the same range


## Technical and cost challenges

## Hydrogen refueling stations

- Increase dispensing capability to $9000 \mathrm{kgH} 2 /$ day and rate of dispensing to $20-25 \mathrm{kgH} 2 / \mathrm{min}$
- Store $40,000-50,000 \mathrm{kgH} 2$ at the station or provide for on-site generation to meet H2 demand
- Store/dispense H2 as liquid and/or cryo-compressed gas
- Reduce cost of on-site storage and on-site generation


## Conclusions - Fuel cells in trucks

- Near term

Urban, regional applications-drayage at ports, delivery, etc., range less than 200 miles

- Longer term

General long haul freight applications, range up to at least 500 miles, to replace diesel trucks

